






NIST Center for Neutron Research (NCNR)

Live Report












22-Feb-2004 7:56:31 AM

There are a total of **6** responses for the selected group from 15-Feb-2004 to 16-Feb-2004.

1. Your position





Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/6 Graduate Student
	33.3%	2/6 Post-doc
	50.0%	3/6 Professor
	16.7%	1/6 Staff Scientist
	0.0%	0/6 Other
100.0%	6/6 Summary	

2. Your primary instrument (Please use this instrument as the basis for answers to sections 3 and 4)


Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/6 30m SANS, NG3
	0.0%	0/6 30m SANS, NG7
	100.0%	6/6 8m SANS, NG1
	0.0%	0/6 Reflectometer, horizontal sample geometry, NG7
	0.0%	0/6 Reflectometer, polarized beam option, vertical geometry, NG1
	0.0%	0/6 Disk Chopper Spectrometer, NG4
	0.0%	0/6 Backscattering Spectrometer, NG2
	0.0%	0/6 Spin-Echo Spectrometer, NG5
	0.0%	0/6 Cold Neutron Triple-Axis (SPINS), NG5
	0.0%	0/6 USANS, BT5
	0.0%	0/6 Powder Diffractometer, BT1

	0.0%	0/6 Residual Stress Diffractometer, BT8
	0.0%	0/6 Filter Analyzer Spectrometer (FANS), BT4
	0.0%	0/6 Triple-Axis Spectrometer with polarized beam option, BT2
	0.0%	0/6 Triple-Axis Spectrometer, BT9
100.0%		6/6 Summary


3. Please rate the proposal process

1) Ease of proposal submission		2.7/3		
2) Referee reports and PAC comments		2.8/3		
3) Proposal process fairness		2.8/3		
4) Scheduling process following approval		2.8/3		
Legends:  Poor  Adequate  Excellent  Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 3				



1) Ease of proposal submission


















2) Referee reports and PAC comments








3) Proposal process fairness










Percent Count Answers**4) Scheduling process following approval****Percent Count Answers****4. Please rate the effectiveness of the health physics training**

1) Relevance of computer based training content		2.8/3		
2) Efficiency of computer based training		2.8/3		
3) NCNR Health Physics tour		2.8/3		
4) Discussion/exam review with health physicist		2.8/3		
5) Refresher/Reindoctrination Training		2.8/3		

















Legends:

-  Poor
-  Adequate
-  Excellent
-  Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 3


1) Relevance of computer based training content**Percent Count Answers**

100.0% 6/6 Summary**2) Efficiency of computer based training****Percent Count Answers****100.0% 6/6 Summary****3) NCNR Health Physics tour****Percent Count Answers****100.0% 6/6 Summary****4) Discussion/exam review with health physicist****Percent Count Answers****100.0% 6/6 Summary****5) Refresher/Reindoctrination Training****Percent Count Answers****100.0% 6/6 Summary****5. Please rate the user support facilities**


1) User Laboratory facilities		
-------------------------------	--	--

	 4.0/5	
2) Tools and supplies in support labs	 3.0/5	
3) User Offices	 3.0/5	
4) NCNR computers for users	 3.8/5	
5) Network access for user laptops	 4.0/5	
6) Break/snack room facilities	 3.0/5	
Legends:  Poor  Adequate  Excellent  Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 5		


1) User Laboratory facilities




2) Tools and supplies in support labs





3) User Offices









4) NCNR computers for users



5) Network access for user laptops






6) Break/snack room facilities









6. Please rate the following aspects of sample environments

1) Availability of different sample environments		4.0/5		
2) Quality and reliability of the equipment		4.0/5		
3) Support from sample environment personnel		4.5/5		
Legends: Poor Adequate Excellent Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 5				

1) Availability of different sample environments**2) Quality and reliability of the equipment****3) Support from sample environment personnel****7. What other sample environments would you research benefit from**




No response.

8. Please rate your primary NCNR instrument


1) Hardware reliability and performance		4.0/5	
2) Data acquisition software		3.5/5	
3) Support from NCNR staff		4.5/5	

Legends:


- Poor
- Adequate
- Excellent
- Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 5

1) Hardware reliability and performance**2) Data acquisition software****3) Support from NCNR staff****9. Please rate data analysis and visualization software at the NCNR**


1) Quality of software	<div style="width: 60%; height: 20px; background-color: purple;"></div>	3.0/5	<div style="width: 40%; height: 20px; background-color: red;"></div>	<div style="width: 20%; height: 20px; background-color: green;"></div>
2) Range of capabilities	<div style="width: 60%; height: 20px; background-color: purple;"></div>	3.0/5	<div style="width: 40%; height: 20px; background-color: red;"></div>	<div style="width: 20%; height: 20px; background-color: green;"></div>
3) Assistance from NCNR staff				




1) Quality of software



2) Range of capabilities



3) Assistance from NCNR staff
















10. What other data analysis tools would your research benefit from

- [More reliable software on NG1](#)

11. Please rate to what extent these forms of remote access

(would) benefit your research program

1) Remote viewing of instrument status and data		2.3/3	
2) Remote control of instrument		2.2/3	
3) Mail in samples for simple, well defined measurements		1.7/3	
Legends:  Not for me  Useful  Essential  Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 3			

1) Remote viewing of instrument status and data**2) Remote control of instrument****3) Mail in samples for simple, well defined measurements**

12. **Please list any neutron instruments not currently at the NCNR that would benefit your research program or the community in general.**

- [A better capability to go to high q with a strong magnetic field and a furnace/cryostat . Polarized beams.](#)

13. **Are there any other comments or suggestions about the NCNR that you would like to add?**

- [Great facility and great people!!](#)

This survey is powered by [Infopoll - Internet Survey Engine for Business Intelligence](#).